INTRODUCTION

Autogenous materials are advantageous for use in orbital wall reconstruction in blowout fractures, but they have some problems such as donor site morbidity, unpredictable resorption rates, and increased operation time. Non-resorbable alloplastic implants (e.g., titanium or porous polyethylene) are widely used to avoid these drawbacks, but they also can cause late complications including infection, foreign body reaction, displacement and protrusion [1,2]. Recently, resorbable mesh plates have been increasingly used as implants in orbital wall reconstruction. Ideal resorbable orbital mesh plates should retain their mechanical strength over 1 to 2 years, allowing for adequate fibrous tissue formation on the bony orbital wall defect, and then be degraded and absorbed completely to minimize the risk of foreign body reaction [3]. The load-bearing strength of mesh plates is adequate for use in isolated floor and medial wall fractures with an intact bony buttress, but they are not recom-
mended for use in large orbital wall fractures that need load-bearing support. Buckling and sagging of implants due to loss of their mechanical properties has been reported in large orbital wall fractures [4,5], and there is a high possibility of late enophthalmos after total absorption; thus, the long-term results are questionable [3,6].

The author previously reported an orbital wall restoring surgery that restored the orbital floor to its prior position through the transnasal approach and maintained temporary extraorbital support with a balloon in the maxillary sinus [7]. Previous studies, however, used nonabsorbable porous polyethylene implants. The bone defect of the orbital wall became smaller as the fractured orbital wall restored its prior position and the use of resorbable implants was expected to be reasonable in author’s orbital wall restoring surgery technique. In addition, the temporary extraorbital support was expected to reduce the load applied on the orbital implants and also reduce postoperative buckling and sagging of resorbable implants in orbital wall restoration surgery. In this study, the author used the resorbable orbital mesh plate in orbital floor restoring surgery and reviewed the clinical outcomes.

METHODS

Subjects
The medical records of 42 patients who received surgical treatment for orbital floor fractures with a resorbable mesh plate between May 2014 and May 2018 were reviewed retrospectively. Patients were excluded if they showed any of the following criteria: orbital rim fracture, bilateral orbital fracture, and any history of facial fractures or diseases that could deform the orbital volume. A total of 31 patients underwent orbital wall restoring surgery with a resorbable mesh plate. The surgical indications for orbital wall fractures were (1) impairment of extraocular movement, (2) evidence of extensive fracture size (fracture size > 2 cm² on computed tomography [CT] images), or (3) enophthalmos (> 2 mm).

Ophthamlic examination
All surgical candidates underwent a preoperative examination by an ophthalmologist to assess diplopia and extraocular movement. The degree of enophthalmos was evaluated using Hertel exophthalmometer (Inami, Tokyo, Japan). The preoperative Hertel measurements were measured 1 day before surgery. The patients visited our outpatient clinic at 1 week, 1 month, 6 months, and 1 year after the operation. Hertel values were measured 6 months postoperatively after completion of orbital soft tissue atrophy and scar formation.

CT scans and orbital volume measurements
Three-dimensional CT images (GE Lightspeed VCT; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA), were taken in 2-mm-thick axial and 1-mm-thick coronal slices. CT scans were performed preoperatively and postoperatively during the outpatient follow-up period after at least 6 months. The Rapidia Image Post-processing System (Infinitt, Seoul, Korea) was used to measure the volume of the orbits by tracing the orbital boundary on each CT image. The volume of the orbit on the untraumatized side was used as a control to eliminate differences in the individual orbital volume. The orbital volume ratio (OVR) was gained by dividing the volume of the traumatized orbit by that of the orbit on the normal side. The postoperative OVR was also measured in the same method.

Surgical techniques
Under general anesthesia, a transconjunctival incision with lateral canthotomy was used in the standard fashion. The fractured orbital wall and herniated orbital contents were exposed through the transorbital approach. After that, a curved Freer elevator was passed into the maxillary sinus through the maxillary ostium to restore the fractured orbital floor (Fig. 1A). The herniated orbital contents and the bony fragments were gently restored from the transnasal approach to their original position viewed via the transorbital site. A 16-Fr Foley catheter (Sewoon Medical, Seoul, Korea) was inserted through the same route with curved mosquito forceps (Fig. 1B) [7]. The Foley catheter balloon was inflated with an appropriate volume with physiologic saline solution, ranging from 12 to 15 mL, until the anatomicly restored orbit was maintained. A resorbable mesh plate (Rapidsorb; Synthes, West Chester, PA, USA) was molded to the proper size to cover the fractured orbital surface, and was inserted between the orbital contents and the orbital wall without any fixation (Fig. 1C, D) [7]. The balloon support was maintained in position for 7 days.

Statistical analysis
The measurements of the OVR and Hertel scale were analyzed using the paired t-test to identify statistically significant differences as a way of evaluating the surgical outcomes. The p-values < 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS ver. 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS
During the study period, 31 patients (24 males and seven females; age, 4–48 years; mean age, 21.6 years) with unilateral or-
bital wall fractures underwent orbital restoration surgery using a resorbable mesh plate. The average surgical timing was 9.8 days after trauma (range, 2–28 days). The most common cause of injury was assault trauma (19 cases), followed by falling (six cases), traffic accidents (five cases), and occupational injury (one case). The mean follow-up period for the CT scans was 8.3 months after surgery (Figs. 2, 3). Before the surgery, four patients had enophthalmos, as defined by a Hertel measurement (>2 mm). Sixteen patients had diplopia, and 14 patients had limited extraocular movement before the operation. Six of those patients showed muscle incarceration. Postoperatively, the ocular problems resolved after surgery in all patients, with no complications. In all 31 patients, postoperative buckling and sagging of resorbable implants were not observed on CT scans at 6 months postoperatively. The measurements on the Hertel scale increased by an average of 0.34 mm postoperatively. The changes in the Hertel scale measurements were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). The mean preoperative OVR of the affected orbit was 108.39%, while the mean postoperative OVR was 102.38%. The difference in the OVR (6.01%) was statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

The ideal implant should be biocompatible, sterilizable, easy to manipulate, and capable of reproducing the original orbit shape.
Various materials have been introduced to reconstruct orbital wall fractures. Conventionally, autogenous bone, such as calvarial bone or iliac bone, is widely used. Autogenous bone has the advantage of a low risk of infection and host response,

Table 1. Preoperative and postoperative orbital volume ratio and Hertel scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>Postoperative</th>
<th>∆Value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orbital volume ratio (%)</td>
<td>108.39 ± 8.69</td>
<td>102.38 ± 4.48</td>
<td>6.01± 5.96</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hertel scale (mm)</td>
<td>−0.45 ± 0.88</td>
<td>−0.11 ± 0.72</td>
<td>0.34± 0.85</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Significant difference, $p<0.05$ in paired t-test.
but it also has some problems, including donor site morbidity, unpredictable resorption rates, and increased operation time [9-11]. Currently, numerous alloplastic implants are produced and commonly used to reconstruct orbital wall fractures. These implants can avoid the drawbacks of the conventional method due to the shorter operative time, their ease of use, and the fact that they eliminate donor-site complications. However, especially in nonresorbable alloplastic implants (e.g., titanium or porous polyethylene), late complications can also occur, including infection, foreign body reaction, displacement, and protrusion [8]. The titanium mesh may lead to the adherence of orbital structures, resulting in extraocular movement restriction or eyelid retraction postoperatively [12]. Porous polyethylene remain as a foreign body, which may cause delayed-onset inflammation, despite its biocompatibility [1]. In contrast, the resorbable mesh plate, composed of polymers (polylactic acid and polyglycolic acid), is largely free from these disadvantages. Resorbable implant combines the advantages of conventional alloplastic implant with the advantage of being biodegradable in vivo. Few cases reported complications due to inflammatory reaction during resorption period, but the rates were 0%–2% [3]. The resorbable mesh plate retains its mechanical strength over 1 to 2 years, allowing for adequate fibrous tissue formation on the bony orbital wall defect, after which it is degraded and absorbed completely, minimizing the risk of foreign body reaction [3]. Therefore, it is useful in isolated floor or medial wall fractures with an intact bony buttress or minimal fracture site [6,13,14]. However, these implants can undergo sagging or buckling due to untimely loss of mechanical strength in large fractures [4,5,15], and they show a late enophthalmos rate of 5% to 16% [3].

The author previously reported an orbital wall restoring surgery that restored the orbital floor to its prior position through the transnasal approach and maintained temporary extraorbital support with a balloon in the maxillary sinus [7]. This procedure significantly decreased the extent of the orbital bone defect and increased bony continuity due to the anatomical restoration from the transnasal approach. There is little risk of further volume change, since the primary orbital fragments heal in their original position. Furthermore, extraorbital ballooning temporarily provided counter-support to reduce the load applied on the implant. Thus, we expected that the resorbable mesh plate could maintain the restored bony orbit against the herniation load of the orbital contents, even in large orbital wall fractures, and temporary extraorbital support would prevent sagging and buckling of the orbital resorbable mesh plate.

To analysis our hypothesis, we used the OVR and the Hertel scale. The OVR is a useful parameter for evaluating orbital wall fractures perioperatively [16]. Our finding that the OVR decreased in long-term follow-up CT scans has convinced us that the orbital wall restoring surgery with the resorbable mesh plate is effective. However, this study has several limitations. First, it was a retrospective review with a limited sample size. Additionally, resorbable implants are well known to be resorbed within 12 months and lose their mechanical strength below the orbital threshold after about 1 to 6 months [3,17]. The follow-up period (mean follow-up period, 8.3 months) was relatively short compared to the total absorption time. However, we expected that there would be no significant difference after 6 months of bone maturation, due to the anatomical restoration of the orbital wall. Finally, we only studied isolated orbital floor fractures, so extended fractures, such as inferomedial wall fractures with bony strut involvement, were not enrolled. Further studies with a larger sample, longer prospective observation, and analysis of extended fractures would be useful supplements to this study.

In conclusion, the orbital wall restoring surgery with resorbable mesh plate is effective for restoring orbital volume and shape. The temporary extraorbital support is expected to reduce the load applied on the orbital implants and also reduce postoperative buckling and sagging of resorbable implants. The use of absorbable implants is a safe way to reduce implant deformation and complications from residual permanent implants in orbital wall restoration surgery.
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