INTRODUCTION

Local random-pattern skin flaps are commonly used to reconstruct soft tissue defects. However, flap necrosis remains a difficult challenge for the plastic surgeon. Blood supply deficiency and ischemic-reperfusion injury are generally responsible for flap necrosis [1].

Various trials have been conducted to reduce distal flap necrosis and achieve optimal results [2-6]. Lidocaine is an effective pharmacologic agent to increase random-pattern skin flap survival [7,8]. It is a widely used amide-type anesthetic that blocks voltage-gated sodium channels in cell membranes of neurons and has been shown to improve cutaneous blood flow, inhibit platelet aggregation, and suppress neutrophil function [9-11]. Lidocaine is effective in recovery after flap reperfusion [12] and can improve random-pattern skin flap survival [8]. To minimize unwanted adverse effects associated with systemic application and maintain a positive effect on the flap, lidocaine should be used topically.

The fractional ablative laser was introduced as a new technology for drug delivery enhancement [13]. Many studies have demonstrated that the laser can assist in topical drug delivery...
Various compounds, including topical 5-aminolevulinic acid, methyl 5-aminolevulinate, 5-fluorouracil, ascorbic acid, corticosteroids, diclofenac, imiquimod, methotrexate, allogeneic stem cells, autologous platelet-rich plasma, and vaccines, have been investigated [14-18]. Lidocaine is a topical agent that demonstrated enhanced transdermal absorption in a fractional ablative laser in vivo model. Oni et al. [18] reported that the amount of local lidocaine uptake can be manipulated by changing the energy setting and ablative depth of the laser. In other words, the possibility of systemic adverse effects of lidocaine can be minimized by controlling the energy of the laser.

We hypothesized that fractional ablative laser therapy would enhance the lidocaine effect to improve random-pattern skin flap survival with minimal systemic adverse effects. Therefore, in this study, we investigated the effect of fractional ablative carbon dioxide laser (FxCL) and topical lidocaine spray on random-pattern skin flap survival in rats.

**METHODS**

Animals and groups

The experimental protocol, including the use of animals in the research, was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Yeungnam University. Forty-eight 2-month-old male Sprague-Dawley rats (weight, 200–250 g) were randomly divided into four groups according to treatment: FxCL+lidocaine (n = 12), FxCL (n = 12), lidocaine (n = 12), and nontreatment (control; n = 12). All animals were provided with standard laboratory food and water ad libitum.

Surgical procedure

The surgical procedure was performed under general anesthesia via the intramuscular injection of zolazepam (50 mg/kg, Zoletil; Virbac, Carros, France) and xylazine (5 mg/kg, Rompun; Bayer Korea, Seoul, Korea). To prevent infectious complications, a single dose of cefazolin (60 mg/kg; Yuhan Co., Seoul, Korea) was administered before the procedure and an aseptic technique was rigorously applied during the procedure. After the dorsal skin was shaved with an electric shaver, a 3 × 9-cm caudally pedicled random-pattern skin flap, called a McFarlane flap, was designed on the skin. The palpable hip joints were used as anatomical landmarks to define the base of the flap. The incision was made, and the entire flap was undermined below the level of the panniculus carnosus. Then, the flap was completely separated from the deep fascia up to its base. After controlling any bleeding, a 3 × 9-cm silicone sheet was inserted between the muscle layer and skin flap to prevent muscle neovascularization and then immediately sutured back to the donor bed using 4-0 Nylon sutures (Fig. 1). All procedures were performed by one researcher (YP), and no rats died during the procedure. The flap area was equally divided into three distinct zones: proximal, intermediate, and distal (Fig. 2).

Laser assembly

FxCL (Edge ONE 2.0; Jeisys Medical Inc., Seoul, Korea) with a wavelength of 10,600 nm was used. The dimension of the treatment area of the handpiece was 10 × 10 mm². The fractional ablative laser total fluence energy setting used was 3.0 J/cm² with 120-µm ablative depth. A probe setup with 100 dots of 1-cm² size was used to deliver laser energy to the skin flaps.

**Fig. 1.** Elevation of random-pattern skin flap in this study. Caudally pedicled McFarlane-type 3×9-cm random patterns skin flaps were made on the dorsal skin.

**Fig. 2.** Sutured random-pattern skin flap back to the donor bed. The sutured dorsal skin flap area was divided into three distinct zones equally (3×3 cm): proximal, intermediate, and distal zones.
Flap treatment in the four groups
Following the surgical procedure, rats in the FxCL+lidocaine and FxCL groups received one pass of FxCL without missed or overlapped areas. Immediately following FxCL treatment, topical lidocaine spray (Xylocaine 10% spray; AstraZeneca, Cambridge, England) was applied in the FxCL+lidocaine and lidocaine groups. The lidocaine concentration of topical lidocaine spray is 0.1 g/mL. From approximately 5 cm above the random-pattern skin flap, the researchers perpendicularly sprayed the lidocaine on each proximal, intermediate, and distal zone of the flap. Lidocaine spray was sprayed once in each zone of the flap. The amount of lidocaine spray sprayed at one time was about 0.1 mL. To prevent the lidocaine from penetrating the skin barrier through the incision site, the incision line was covered with sterile gauze. In the FxCL and control groups, 0.9% saline was applied to the skin flaps with the same pump of the topical lidocaine spray. Then, all rats were housed individually in standard experimental cages in an environmentally controlled room and were fed standard rat chow and water ad libitum for 7 days.

Assessment of flap survival
To quantify the survival area, all flaps were photographed with a digital camera (EOS 600D; Canon, Tokyo, Japan) and the survival area was measured using an image program (ImageJ software; National Institutes of Health). Results were expressed as percentage survival in relation to total surface area of the flap.

Neutrophil and capillary vessel density
A 1 × 1-cm skin flap around the demarcation line of the necrotic area was harvested including necrotic and survival site samples. All tissue specimens were fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin for at least 24 hours at room temperature, embedded in paraffin, cut into 4-mm slices, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Another 1 × 1-cm full-thickness tissue sample in the intermediate zone excluding necrotic tissue was harvested for neutrophil count under a light microscope (×100). The number of erythrocyte-containing capillary vessels was also counted. These counts were performed in five areas under high magnification (×200) in each area to calculate the capillary vessel density per 1 mm². The blood vessels were measured at the level of the papillary dermis inferior to the panniculus carnosus.

Immunohistochemical evaluation
Paraffin-embedded 4-µm sectioned tissue samples were immunostained with monoclonal antibody against rat vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF; 1:100 dilution; Ab28364 Abcam; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and CD31 (1:100 dilution; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA). Then, the sections were counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin and mounted. The amount of VEGF- and CD31-positive cells were quantified in five fields per specimen (×400).

Statistical analysis
Data on percentage of flap survival in the photographic evaluation, number of capillary vessels and neutrophils in the histologic evaluation, and VEGF/CD31-positive microvascular density are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. One-way analysis of variance with Bonferroni correction was conducted in each group. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) with p-values < 0.05 considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Assessment of flap survival
Percentage of survival area on postoperative day 7 was 69.08% ± 3.20% in the FxCL+lidocaine group, 57.08% ± 5.91% in the FxCL group, 58.16% ± 4.80% in the lidocaine group, and 53.41% ± 5.43% in the control group. Percentage of survival area in the FxCL+lidocaine group was significantly higher than that in the other three groups (p < 0.001). However, differences between the FxCL, lidocaine, and control groups with respect to percentage of survival area were not statistically significant (p = 0.18) (Table 1, Fig. 3).

Neutrophil and capillary vessel density
The stratum corneum of the random-pattern skin flap was successfully disrupted by the laser in the FxCL+lidocaine and FxCL groups (Fig. 4). Full-thickness tissue in the intermediate zone excluding the necrotic tissue presented differently under the light microscope on postoperative day 7. Dense neutrophil infiltration (41.70 ± 8.40) was observed in the control group, whereas it was markedly decreased in the FxCL+lidocaine group (27.20 ± 4.24, p < 0.001). Thus, the inflammatory reaction was less severe in the FxCL+lidocaine group than in the control group. There was no statistically significant difference in neutrophil count in the FxCL (37.23 ± 7.15) and lidocaine (35.43 ± 6.41) groups compared with the control group (Fig. 5). Capillary vessel density (number of vessels in 1 mm²) in the dermal papillary layer was 17.74 ± 5.38 in the FxCL+lidocaine group, 18.51 ± 3.57 in the FxCL group, 16.10 ± 6.04 in the lidocaine group, and 18.14 ± 4.88 in the control group, without significant differences among the groups (p = 0.22). Capillary vessel density in the layer inferior to the panniculus carnosus was 13.91 ± 4.98 in the FxCL+lidocaine group, 15.84 ± 4.09 in the FxCL group.
group, 14.36 ± 6.11 in the lidocaine group, and 13.28 ± 3.94 in the control group. Similarly, there was no significant difference among the groups.

**Immunohistochemical evaluation**

Immunohistochemical evaluation revealed more VEGF-positive cells in the FxCL+lidocaine group than in the other three groups (FxCL+lidocaine, 121.64 ± 19.38 cells/field; FxCL, 92.45 ± 16.11 cells/field; lidocaine, 98.12 ± 17.83 cells/field; control, 86.09 ± 12.51 cells/field; p < 0.05) (Figs. 6, 7). The number of CD31-positive microvascular vessels was also significantly higher in the FxCL+lidocaine group than in the other three groups (FxCL+lidocaine, 31.38 ± 9.36 vessels/field; FxCL, 22.52 ± 3.99 vessels/field; lidocaine, 24.66 ± 8.25 vessels/field; control, 18.45 ± 5.89 vessels/field; p < 0.05) (Figs. 8, 9).

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this study showed that flap survival was significantly higher in the FxCL+lidocaine group than that in the other groups. In addition, neutrophil count was significantly lower in the FxCL+lidocaine group than in the other groups, while neoangiogenic parameters, VEGF and CD31, were signifi-
cantly higher in the FxCL+lidocaine group than in the other
groups.

An ideal drug for improving flap survival would have the fol-
lowing characteristics: (1) clinical availability, (2) administra-

cally.

Fig. 6. Immunohistochemical staining of anti-rat VEGF antibody
(×400). The results showed more VEGF-positive staining cells
(brown) in the FxCL+lidocaine group (A) than in the FxCL (B), li-
docaine (C), and control groups (D). VEGF, vascular endothelial
growth factor; FxCL, fractional ablative carbon dioxide laser.

Fig. 7. VEGF-positive staining cells in the immunohistochemical eval-
uation. The number of VEGF-positive staining cells was significantly
higher in the FxCL+lidocaine group than those in other groups
(FxCL+lidocaine group, 121.64±19.38 cells/field; FxCL group, 92.45±
16.11 cells/field; lidocaine group, 98.12±17.83 cells/field; control group,
86.09±12.51 cells/field). VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor;
FxCL, fractional ablative carbon dioxide laser. a) p<0.05.

Fig. 8. Immunohistochemical staining of anti-rat CD31 antibody
(×400). The results showed more CD31-positive microvascular ves-
sels (circular red-brown) in the FxCL+lidocaine group (A) than in
the FxCL (B), lidocaine (C), and control groups (D). FxCL, frac-
tional ablative carbon dioxide laser.

Fig. 9. CD31-positive microvascular vessels in the immunohisto-
chemical evaluation. The number of CD31-positive microvascular ves-
sels in the FxCL+lidocaine group was significantly higher than those
in other groups (FxCL+lidocaine group, 31.38±9.36 vessels/field; FxCL
group, 22.52±3.99 vessels/field; lidocaine group, 24.66±8.25 vessels/
field; control group, 18.45±5.89 vessels/field). FxCL, fractional ablative
carbon dioxide laser. a) p<0.05.

An ideal drug for improving flap survival would have the fol-
lowing characteristics: (1) clinical availability, (2) administra-
might make it difficult for lidocaine to be absorbed into the skin. These factors might include bleeding, edema, and exudate in the treated areas. These factors might result in unintended higher concentration of the agent. Furthermore, such drugs can be easily administered post-operatively, even in an outpatient clinic or as bedside treatment, in clinical practice. However, topical lidocaine spray can be interrupted by the natural skin barrier. The therapeutic efficacy of topical drugs is related to their ability to reach target cells through the skin layer. The major rate-limiting step for percutaneous absorption is passage through the stratum corneum.

Reperfusion injury is an inflammatory process modulated by complex signaling mechanisms, which ultimately leads to cell death. As inflammation progresses, neutrophils accumulate. Neutrophil count could be used to predict flap injury [8]. Lidocaine blocks voltage-gated sodium channels in cell membranes of neurons and has been shown to improve cutaneous blood flow, inhibit platelet aggregation, and suppress neutrophil function. The present study demonstrated that neutrophil density was significantly lower in the FxCL+lidocaine group (27.20 ± 4.24, p < 0.001) compared with control group.

The fractional ablative laser is a peculiar way that makes microscopic vertical holes on skin surface, each surrounded by a thin layer of ablated tissue, constituting microscopic ablation zones [13]. The laser leaves microscopic intervening areas of unexposed skin that can be remodeled without scarring. The laser facilitates penetration and distribution of topically applied drugs because the ablated laser holes extend into the dermis and act as channels for drug absorption [14].

Recently, low fluence setting of the fractional ablative laser, such as 2 or 3 J/cm², was reported to enhance topical drug delivery [20]. Fractional ablative laser therapy with low fluence has some advantages, including lesser pain and tissue damage and faster recovery than conventional fractional ablative laser therapy. In a pilot study, increasing the laser energy led to visible bleeding, edema, and exudate in the treated areas. These factors might make it difficult for lidocaine to be absorbed into the skin against the hydrostatic/oncotic pressure pushing fluid out of the skin. In the histologic evaluation in this study, the stratum corneum was successfully disrupted by lower energy of 3 J/cm².

In this study, the FxCL group was necessary to determine the effect of the fractional ablative laser alone on flap survival. Based on our results, laser treatment can enhance survival of random-pattern skin flaps independently. Similarly, Prado et al. [21] reported the effect of low-level laser therapy, which could enhance random-pattern skin flap survival in rats. Low-power lasers have also been reported to have biostimulating effects, including neoangiogenesis, increased blood flow, and fibroblast proliferation. In the FxCL group, the flap received laser treatment only without pharmacologic agents. Although there were no significant differences, all parameters, including percentage of survival area, neutrophil count, vascular density, and VEGF/CD31 expression, represented a more improved result compared with the control group. It is considered that increased vascular perfusion occurred in the FxCL group. Likewise, in the lidocaine group, most parameters demonstrated enhancement of flap survival. It is considered that the impact of lidocaine, including anti-inflammatory, anti-thrombotic, and ischemic-reperfusion injury prevention effects, could have produced the result in the lidocaine group without FxCL treatment.

To evaluate neoangiogenesis, vascular density in high-power fields and VEGF/CD31 expression were examined in this study. Although there was a significant difference in VEGF/CD31 expression in the FxCL+lidocaine group, the number of capillary vessels was not significantly different among the groups. There is a possibility of missing values or overestimation of the number of microvascular structures on H&E staining because a pre-existing vessel could be considered as neoangiogenesis. Most importantly, the markedly enhanced effect of FxCL+lidocaine was significantly different from that of FxCL alone and lidocaine alone in all parameters. Thus, the neoangiogenetic and anti-inflammatory effects of lidocaine may be maximized with FxCL treatment.

The potential effect of FxCL and topical lidocaine spray on survival of random-pattern skin flaps in rats was investigated. This study showed the positive effect of FxCL on enhancement of random-pattern skin flap survival in rats with lidocaine. Therefore, FxCL with lidocaine spray can be considered a new therapeutic approach to enhance flap viability.
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